

Equivalence of codes: necessary conditions

Jay A. Wood

Department of Mathematics
Western Michigan University
<http://homepages.wmich.edu/~jwood/>

Algebra for Secure and Reliable Communications
Modeling
Morelia, Michoacán, Mexico
October 13, 2012

Introduction

- ▶ In this lecture we show that if the extension property is satisfied for linear codes over a finite ring R with respect to the Hamming weight, then the ring is Frobenius.
- ▶ We will follow a strategy due to Dinh and López-Permouth, 2004.
- ▶ We will use the re-formulation of the extension property as the injectivity of the weight mapping $W : F(\mathcal{O}^\#, \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow F(M, \mathbb{C})$:

$$\eta \mapsto (m \mapsto \sum_{[\lambda] \in \mathcal{O}^\#} w(m\lambda)\eta([\lambda])).$$

The strategy of Dinh and López-Permouth

- ▶ Prove the contrapositive as follows.
 1. If R is not Frobenius, then $\text{Soc}(R)$ contains a matrix module of the form $M_{n \times k}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, with $n < k$.
 2. Use $A = M_{n \times k}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ as alphabet, and show that the extension property fails for this alphabet.
 3. Show that the counterexamples for A are also counterexamples for R .
- ▶ Dinh and López-Permouth proved 1 and 3. They proved 2 in certain special cases.

Socle condition

- ▶ We saw in an earlier lecture that matrix rings $M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ admit a generating character $\rho = \theta_q \circ \text{Tr}$.
- ▶ By restriction, any left $M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -module of the form $M_{n \times k}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ with $n \geq k$ admits a generating character. Include $M_{n \times k}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ inside $M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ as the first k columns, and restrict ρ to $M_{n \times k}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.
- ▶ Any ring R having $\text{Soc}(R) \cong \bigoplus M_{n_i \times k_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q_i})$ with all $n_i \geq k_i$ is Frobenius. Because $\text{Soc}(R)$ admits a generating character θ , θ extends to a generating character on R . Thus R is Frobenius.

The weight mapping again

- ▶ The weight mapping is $W : F(\mathcal{O}^\#, \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow F(M, \mathbb{C})$:

$$\eta \mapsto (m \mapsto \sum_{[\lambda] \in \mathcal{O}^\#} w(m\lambda)\eta([\lambda])).$$

- ▶ If $u \in G_I$, then $W(\eta)(um) = W(\eta)(m)$, all $m \in M$.
- ▶ Thus the image of W lies inside $F(M, \mathbb{C})^{G_I}$, the vector space of G_I -invariant functions on M . This space can be identified with $F(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{C})$, the functions on the set \mathcal{O} of all the G_I -scale classes of M .

And again

- ▶ Suppose w has values in \mathbb{Q} , which happens for the Hamming, Lee, Euclidean, homogeneous weights.
- ▶ Then $W : F(\mathcal{O}^\#, \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow F(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{Q})$.
- ▶ By tensoring with \mathbb{Q} (formally allowing η to take rational values), we get a linear transformation of \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces:

$$W : F(\mathcal{O}^\#, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow F(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

- ▶ The \mathbb{Q} -version of W is injective iff the \mathbb{N} -version is injective. Now we can use linear algebra over \mathbb{Q} .

Alphabet $M_{n \times k}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, $n < k$

- ▶ Let $R = M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and alphabet $A = M_{n \times k}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, a left R -module. We use the Hamming weight wt on A .
- ▶ For the Hamming weight, the symmetry groups are $G_l = \mathcal{U}(R) = GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, the group of invertible matrices of size $n \times n$, and $G_r = \text{Aut}(A) = GL_k(\mathbb{F}_q)$ (under right matrix multiplication).
- ▶ We examine the weight mapping $W : F(\mathcal{O}^\#, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow F(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{Q})$ in detail for a left R -module M .

Analysis of W mapping: \mathcal{O}

- ▶ Any left R -module has the form $M = M_{n \times t}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.
- ▶ \mathcal{O} is the set of $G_l = GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ scale classes of M .
- ▶ Classes in \mathcal{O} are represented by row-reduced echelon matrices of size $n \times t$.

Analysis of W mapping: $\mathcal{O}^\#$

- ▶ $\text{Hom}_R(M, A) = M_{t \times k}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ (under right matrix multiplication).
- ▶ $\mathcal{O}^\#$ is the set of (right) $G_r = GL_k(\mathbb{F}_q)$ scale classes of $\text{Hom}_R(M, A)$.
- ▶ Classes in $\mathcal{O}^\#$ are represented by column-reduced echelon matrices of size $t \times k$.

Analysis of W mapping: dimensions

- ▶ For any finite set S , $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} F(S, \mathbb{Q}) = |S|$.
- ▶ Then $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} F(\mathcal{O}^{\#}, \mathbb{Q}) = |\mathcal{O}^{\#}|$, which equals the number of column-reduced echelon matrices of size $t \times k$.
- ▶ Similarly, $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} F(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{Q}) = |\mathcal{O}|$, which equals the number of row-reduced echelon matrices of size $n \times t$.
- ▶ Because $n < k$ (by hypothesis), $|\mathcal{O}^{\#}| > |\mathcal{O}|$.
- ▶ Thus, $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} F(\mathcal{O}^{\#}, \mathbb{Q}) > \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} F(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{Q})$, and W cannot be injective.

Form of counterexample

- ▶ Suppose $R = M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $A = M_{n \times (n+1)}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.
- ▶ Choose $M = A$. Then $\text{Hom}_R(M, A) = M_{n+1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.
- ▶ Define $\eta_+ : \mathcal{O}^\# \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by: any column-reduced echelon matrix of size $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ of EVEN rank r is assigned multiplicity $q^{\binom{r}{2}}$.
- ▶ Define $\eta_- : \mathcal{O}^\# \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by: any column-reduced echelon matrix of size $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ of ODD rank r is assigned multiplicity $q^{\binom{r}{2}}$.
- ▶ The codes determined by η_\pm are not equivalent (η_+ has a zero position corresponding to the zero matrix), but they have the same image under W .

Step three

- ▶ R non-Frobenius with $R/J \cong \bigoplus M_{n_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q_i})$.
- ▶ By step 1, there exists an index i so that $M_{n_i \times k_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q_i}) \subset \text{Soc}(R)$, with $n_i < k_i$.
- ▶ Apply Step 2, with $M_{n_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q_i})$ and $M_{n_i \times k_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q_i})$.
- ▶ The counterexamples from Step 2 are $M_{n_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q_i})$ -linear codes inside $M_{n_i \times k_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q_i})^N \subset \text{Soc}(R)^N \subset R^N$. They are considered R -linear codes via $R \rightarrow R/J \rightarrow M_{n_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q_i})$.
- ▶ The zero position in η_+ shows that the codes from η_{\pm} are not equivalent over the original ring R .

Why do η_{\pm} give the same weights?

- ▶ One can calculate that $W(\eta_+) = W(\eta_-)$.
- ▶ This is a long, detailed argument involving the Cauchy binomial theorem.
- ▶ Equivalently, the argument involves properties of the Möbius function of the poset of all linear subspaces in \mathbb{F}_q^k .
- ▶ The multiplicities involved in η_{\pm} are values of the Möbius function.

Example (a)

- ▶ $R = \mathbb{F}_q$, $M = A = M_{1 \times 2}(\mathbb{F}_q) = \mathbb{F}_q^2$.
- ▶ DANGER: the Hamming weight on A means that $\text{wt}(ab) = 1$ unless $ab = 00$ ($ab \in \mathbb{F}_q^2$).
- ▶ $\text{Hom}_R(M, A) = M_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$, so look at 2×2 column-reduced echelon matrices.
- ▶ For η_+ : zero matrix with multiplicity 1; the identity matrix with multiplicity q .
- ▶ For η_- : the following, each with multiplicity 1:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} (c \in \mathbb{F}_q), \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example (b)

- ▶ For any $ab \in M = \mathbb{F}_q^2$, form an element in A^{q+1} by multiplying ab times the matrices above. Because $G_l = \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, it suffices to compute elements of M of the form $1b$ or 01 . (b varies over \mathbb{F}_q .)

code	input	output
η_+	$1b$	$00, 1b, 1b, \dots, 1b$
η_-	$1b$	$\dots, (1 + bc)0, \dots, b0$
η_+	01	$00, 01, 01, \dots, 01$
η_-	01	$\dots, c0, \dots, 10$

Example (c)

- ▶ For nonzero inputs, check that $\text{wt}(\text{output}) = q$ for both η_{\pm} .
- ▶ Also verify that η_{+} has a zero position (the first), but η_{-} does not. In fact, for the $q + 1$ different inputs shown, the zero positions in η_{-} (input) occur in every possible position. ($c = 0$ comes first.)

input	zero position in η_{-}	output
10	$q + 1$	
$1b (b \neq 0)$	$c = -b^{-1}$	
01	1	

Benson's example from lecture 3

- ▶ Let R be a ring consisting of all matrices over \mathbb{F}_2 of the following form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & a_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_1 & 0 & a_2 & a_3 & 0 \\ a_4 & 0 & a_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_4 & 0 & a_5 & a_6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_9 & 0 \\ a_7 & 0 & a_8 & 0 & 0 & a_9 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- ▶ This R is QF but not Frobenius.

Benson's example: not Frobenius

- ▶ Setting all entries equal to zero except a_7 and a_8 yields an $\mathbb{F}_2^2 = M_{1 \times 2}(\mathbb{F}_2) \subset \text{Soc}(R)$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_7 & 0 & a_8 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Other alphabets

- ▶ Assume alphabet A , a left R -module, with Hamming weight.
- ▶ Just as for rings, if $\text{Soc}(A) \cong \bigoplus M_{n_i \times k_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q_i})$ with all $n_i \geq k_i$, then $\text{Soc}(A)$, and hence A , admits a left generating character. This defines an embedding of A into \widehat{R} .
- ▶ Thus if A does not embed into \widehat{R} , it has an $M_{n_i \times k_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q_i})$, $n_i < k_i$, inside $\text{Soc}(A)$.
- ▶ The same argument proves the existence of counterexamples over the alphabet A .
- ▶ The extension theorem holds for A iff A is pseudo-injective and A embeds in \widehat{R} .