

# Character-Theoretic Tools for Studying Linear Codes over Rings and Modules

Jay A. Wood

Department of Mathematics  
Western Michigan University

<http://sites.google.com/a/wmich.edu/jaywood>

Algebraic Methods in Coding Theory  
CIMPA School  
Ubatuba, Brazil  
July 3, 2017

# Acknowledgments

- ▶ Thanks to CIMPA, our other sponsors, and the organizing committees for organizing this school, for inviting me to speak, and for their hospitality.

# 1. Linear Codes over Finite Fields

- ▶ Definitions
- ▶ Error correction and the Hamming weight
- ▶ Syndrome decoding and the dual code
- ▶ Equivalence of codes

# Objectives

- ▶ Introduce some the language of coding theory over finite fields.
- ▶ Introduce, with examples, some of the mathematical problems that will be discussed in later lectures.

# Basic vocabulary

- ▶ Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field.
- ▶ A **linear code** over  $\mathbb{F}$  of **length**  $n$  is a vector subspace  $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n$ .
- ▶ Let  $k = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} C$  be the dimension of  $C$  over  $\mathbb{F}$ .
- ▶ We say that  $C$  is a linear  $[n, k]$ -code.
- ▶ The elements of  $C$  are called **codewords**.

# Encoding

- ▶ A linear code is often presented by an encoding map, represented by a **generator matrix**  $G$ .
- ▶  $G$  will be a matrix of size  $k \times n$  of rank  $k$
- ▶  $G$  defines a linear transformation  $\mathbb{F}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ ,  $x \mapsto xG$ , with inputs written on the left. (Why? Tradition!)
- ▶  $\mathbb{F}^k$  is the **information space**. The linear code  $C$  is the image of the encoding map (row space of  $G$ ).
- ▶ There are many possible encoding maps: use  $PG$ ,  $P$  invertible  $k \times k$ .

# Errors in transmission

- ▶ Error-correcting codes are designed to detect and correct errors in transmission in communication channels.

$$\mathbb{F}^k \xrightarrow[\text{encode}]{} \mathbb{F}^n \xrightarrow[\text{transmit}]{+\text{noise}} \mathbb{F}^n \xrightarrow[\text{decode}]{} \mathbb{F}^n \xrightarrow[\text{unencode}]{} \mathbb{F}^k$$

- ▶ The code adds redundancy which, if done properly, may allow errors to be corrected (“decoding”).

# Parity check matrix

- ▶ Given a linear  $[n, k]$ -code  $C$ , we can think of  $C$  as the solution space of a system of linear equations.
- ▶ A **parity check matrix** for  $C$  is an  $(n - k) \times n$  matrix  $H$  of rank  $n - k$  such that

$$C = \{c \in \mathbb{F}^n : Hc^T = 0\}.$$



# Dual code

- ▶ Given linear  $[n, k]$ -code  $C$ , the dual code  $C^\perp$  is the linear  $[n, n - k]$ -code generated by the parity check matrix of  $C$ .
- ▶ Define the **dot product** on  $\mathbb{F}^n$  by  $a \cdot b = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i$ .
- ▶ Then  $C^\perp = \{b \in \mathbb{F}^n : c \cdot b = 0, \text{ for all } c \in C\}$ .
- ▶ Note that  $(C^\perp)^\perp = C$ .

# Example

- ▶  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_2$ ,  $n = 7$ ,  $k = 4$ ,  $n - k = 3$ :

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Syndromes

- ▶ Suppose  $c \in C$  is transmitted, and suppose some error is introduced, so that  $y = c + e$  is received. Here,  $e$  is the (yet to be determined) error vector.
- ▶ Applying the parity check matrix, we see that  $Hy^T = Hc^T + He^T = He^T$  (the “syndrome”).
- ▶ The error vector  $e$  lies in the same coset of  $C$  as the received vector  $y$ .

# Likelihood

- ▶ Of all vectors in the coset  $y + C$ , which is the most likely to be the error vector?
- ▶ One model of a communication channel: the symmetric binary channel.
- ▶ Let  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_2$ , the binary field. When an element of  $\mathbb{F}_2$  is transmitted, there is a probability of  $p$  that the other element will be received. Assume  $0 \leq p \leq 1/2$ .

# Hamming distance and Hamming weight

- ▶ The **Hamming weight**  $\text{wt}(y)$  of a vector  $y \in \mathbb{F}^n$  is the number of nonzero entries in  $y$ ;  
$$\text{wt}(y) = |\{i : y_i \neq 0\}|.$$
- ▶ The **Hamming distance** between two vectors  $y, z \in \mathbb{F}^n$  is the Hamming weight of their difference:  
$$d(y, z) = \text{wt}(y - z).$$
- ▶ The Hamming distance  $d$  is a distance, so  $(\mathbb{F}^n, d)$  is a (discrete) metric space.

# Likelihood, again

- ▶ Provided  $p < 1/2$ , an error vector with small Hamming weight is more likely to occur than one of larger Hamming weight.
- ▶ Syndrome decoding: given a received vector  $y = c + e$ , the most likely error vector is a vector of minimal Hamming weight in the coset  $y + C$ .
- ▶ Such an  $e$  exists, but it may not be unique.

# Minimum distance of a code

- ▶ Given a code  $C$ , the **minimum (Hamming) distance** of  $C$  is
$$d_C = \min\{d(b, c) : b, c \in C, b \neq c\}.$$
- ▶ For linear codes, this equals the **minimum (Hamming) weight**,  $\min\{\text{wt}(c) : c \in C, c \neq 0\}$ .
- ▶ Suppose  $C$  has minimum distance  $d_C$ . Let  $t = \lfloor (d_C - 1)/2 \rfloor$ .
- ▶ Nearest neighbor decoding corrects up to  $t$  errors.

# Example (again)

- ▶  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_2$ ,  $n = 7$ ,  $k = 4$ :

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- ▶ Codewords: 0000000, 0001111, 0110011, 1010101, 1111111, 0111100, 1011010, 1110000, 1100110, 1001100, 0101010, 1101001, 1000011, 0100101, 0011001, 0010110.  $d_C = 3$ .



# Example (and again)

- ▶  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_2$ ,  $n = 7$ ,  $k = 3$ :

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- ▶ Codewords: 0000000, 0001111, 0110011, 1010101, 0111100, 1011010, 1100110, 1101001.  $d_{C^\perp} = 4$ .

# Decoding $C$

- ▶ Because  $d_C = 3$ , we can correct one error.
- ▶ If  $\text{wt}(e) = 1$ , there is a single 1 in position  $i$ .
- ▶ The syndrome  $He^T$  is the  $i$ th column of  $H$ .
- ▶ The  $i$ th column of  $H$  is the base 2 expression of  $i$ , so the syndrome tells us the location of the error.
- ▶ Suppose  $y = 1011101$  is received. Syndrome  $Hy^T = 100^T$ , so most likely  $c = 1010101$  was sent.

# Weight distributions

- ▶ Given  $C$ , its **weight distribution** is  $(A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n)$ , where  $A_i = |\{c \in C : \text{wt}(c) = i\}|$ , the number of codewords of Hamming weight  $i$ .
- ▶ For our example,  $C$  has  $(1, 0, 0, 7, 7, 0, 0, 1)$ .
- ▶  $C^\perp$  has  $(1, 0, 0, 0, 7, 0, 0, 0)$ .
- ▶ In the next slide, we organize this information differently.

# Hamming weight enumerator

- ▶ For a linear code  $C \subseteq A^n$ , define the **Hamming weight enumerator** of  $C$  by

$$\text{hwe}_C(X, Y) = \sum_{x \in C} X^{n-\text{wt}(x)} Y^{\text{wt}(x)}.$$

- ▶  $\text{hwe}_C(X, Y) = \sum_{i=0}^n A_i X^{n-i} Y^i$ , where  $A_i$  is the number of codewords in  $C$  of Hamming weight  $i$ .
- ▶ In our example:  $\text{hwe}_{C^\perp}(X, Y) = X^7 + 7X^3Y^4$ ,  
 $\text{hwe}_C(X, Y) = X^7 + 7X^4Y^3 + 7X^3Y^4 + Y^7$ .

# MacWilliams identities

- ▶ One can verify in our binary example that the weight enumerators are related in the following way:

$$\text{hwe}_C(X, Y) = \frac{1}{|C^\perp|} \text{hwe}_{C^\perp}(X + Y, X - Y).$$

# Properties of dual codes

- ▶ Given a linear code  $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n$ .
- ▶ Dual  $C^\perp$  is also a linear code in  $\mathbb{F}^n$ .
- ▶ Double dual:  $(C^\perp)^\perp = C$ .
- ▶ Dimension/size:  $\dim C + \dim C^\perp = n$ , or:  
 $|C| \cdot |C^\perp| = |\mathbb{F}^n|$ .
- ▶ The MacWilliams identities.
- ▶ The next several lectures will be about generalizations of these properties.

# Equivalence of linear codes

- ▶ When should two linear codes be considered as being the same?
- ▶ “Extrinsic”: differ by a monomial transformation of  $\mathbb{F}^n$ .
- ▶ “Intrinsic”: related by a weight-preserving isomorphism.

# Monomial transformations

- ▶ A **monomial transformation**  $T : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$  is an invertible linear transformation whose matrix has exactly one nonzero entry in each row and column (a “monomial matrix”).
- ▶ Monomial transformations are precisely the invertible linear transformations  $\mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$  that preserve the Hamming weight.
- ▶ Linear codes  $C_1, C_2 \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n$  are **monomially equivalent** if there exists a monomial transformation  $T$  with  $T(C_1) = C_2$ .



# Weight-preserving maps

- ▶ If  $T(C_1) = C_2$ , then the restriction of  $T$  to  $C_1$  is a linear isomorphism  $C_1 \rightarrow C_2$  that preserves Hamming weight.
- ▶ Is the converse true?
- ▶ Yes!—MacWilliams (1961–62). Weight preserving maps extend to monomial transformations.
- ▶ Call this the “MacWilliams extension theorem”.

# Upcoming lectures

- ▶ Lectures 2 and 3 will address generalizations of dual codes and the MacWilliams identities for linear codes defined over finite rings and modules.
- ▶ Lecture 4 will discuss self-dual codes (where  $C = C^\perp$ ) in a general setting. Lecture 5: exercises!
- ▶ Lectures 6–10 will deal with different aspects of the extension problem: do weight-preserving maps extend to monomial transformations?
- ▶ Many of the techniques are based on characters of finite abelian groups and the modules built out of these characters.