

# The Extension Theorem for Lee Weight Using Dirichlet $L$ -functions

Jay A. Wood

Department of Mathematics  
Western Michigan University

<http://sites.google.com/a/wmich.edu/jaywood>

University of São Paulo  
July 17, 2017

In memoriam:  
Maryam Mirzakhani,  
May 3, 1977–July 15, 2017

# Joint work

- ▶ This is joint work with Sergii Dyshko and Philippe Langevin.

# Linear codes

- ▶ Let  $R$  be a finite commutative ring with 1.
- ▶ A **linear code** of length  $n$  over  $R$  is a submodule  $C \subseteq R^n$ .
- ▶ Classically,  $R$  was a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .
- ▶ Our interest today will be  $R = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ , especially the case where  $N = p^k$ ,  $p$  prime.

# Presenting a linear code

- ▶ Linear codes are often presented via a **generator matrix**  $G$  of size  $k \times n$  over  $R$ .
- ▶ The linear code is the submodule of  $R^n$  generated by the rows of  $G$ .
- ▶ The generator matrix defines a homomorphism  $R^k \rightarrow R^n$  via  $x \mapsto xG$ . If this map has a kernel, we may instead write the map as  $M = R/\ker \rightarrow R^n$ .
- ▶ We will call  $M$  an **information module**.

# Errors in transmission

- ▶ Error-correcting codes are designed to detect and correct errors in transmission in communication channels.

$$M \xrightarrow{\text{encode}} R^n \xrightarrow[\text{transmit}]{+\text{noise}} R^n \xrightarrow{\text{decode}} R^n \xrightarrow{\text{unencode}} M$$

- ▶ The code adds redundancy which, if done properly, may allow errors to be corrected (“decoding”).

# Weights and distances

- ▶ When an element of  $R$  is transmitted, a different element might be received (a 'noisy channel').
- ▶ Weights and distances are often defined on  $R$  and  $R^n$  as a proxy for probabilities of errors.
- ▶ A **weight**  $w$  on  $R$  is any function  $w : R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $w(0) = 0$ . Extend to  $R^n$  by  $w(\vec{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n w(x_i)$ .
- ▶ The **distance** on  $R^n$  determined by  $w$  is  $d_w(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = w(\vec{x} - \vec{y})$ , where we assume  $w(-r) = w(r)$  for all  $r \in R$ .

# Three important examples

- ▶ For any  $R$ , the **Hamming weight** is  $H(0) = 0$  and  $H(r) = 1$  for  $r \neq 0$ .
- ▶ For  $R = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ , the **Lee** and **Euclidean** weights are

$$L(r) = \min\{r, N - r\},$$

$$E(r) = \min\{r^2, (N - r)^2\},$$

where  $r \in R$  is represented by  $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, N - 1\}$ .



# Weight-preserving maps

- ▶ What are the invertible homomorphisms  $R^n \rightarrow R^n$  that preserve one of these weights?
- ▶ A **monomial transformation**  $T : R^n \rightarrow R^n$  is determined by a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and units  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  of  $R$ . Define

$$T(\vec{x}) = (u_1 x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, u_n x_{\sigma(n)}).$$

- ▶ Hamming weight: all monomial transformations.
- ▶ Lee or Euclidean: need all  $u_i = \pm 1$ ; 'signed permutations'.

# Extension problem

- ▶ If  $C \subseteq R^n$  is a linear code and  $T$  is a monomial transformation or signed permutation, then the restriction of  $T$  to  $C$  is an isomorphism from  $C$  to  $C' = T(C)$  that preserves the weight (an 'isometry').
- ▶ Is the converse true?
- ▶ Extension problem: determine conditions on  $R$  and the weight  $w$  so that every isometry  $C \rightarrow R^n$  extends to an isometry  $R^n \rightarrow R^n$ .
- ▶ Say: ' $R$  and  $w$  have the extension property' (EP).

# Some of what is known

- ▶ Hamming weight has EP: over finite fields (MacWilliams, 1961–62); over finite Frobenius rings (W, 1999); only over Frobenius rings (W, 2008).
- ▶ Lee weight has EP over  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$  when  $N$  is:  $2^k$ ,  $3^k$ , prime  $p = 2q + 1$ ,  $q$  prime (Langevin, W, 2000); prime  $p = 4q + 1$ ,  $q$  prime (Barra, 2012); any prime  $p$  (Dyskho, L, W, 2016); any prime power  $p^k$  (L, W, 2016); any positive integer (D, 2017).
- ▶ Euclidean: prime powers  $p^k$  (L, W).

# Symmetrized weight compositions

- ▶ The Lee and Euclidean weights are invariant under the action of  $U = \{\pm 1\}$ :  $L(-x) = L(x)$  and  $E(-x) = E(x)$ .
- ▶ Denote the set of nonzero orbits of  $U$  on  $R = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$  by  $\mathcal{O}$ . Orbit of  $r$  is  $[r] = \{\pm r\}$ .
- ▶ For  $[r] \in \mathcal{O}$  and  $x \in R^n$ , define

$$\text{swc}_{[r]}(x) = |\{i : x_i \in [r]\}|.$$

# swc has EP

## Theorem

*Suppose  $R = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$  and  $U = \{\pm 1\}$ . If  $f : C \rightarrow R^n$  preserves swc, i.e.,  $\text{swc}_{[r]}(f(x)) = \text{swc}_{[r]}(x)$  for all  $x \in C$  and  $[r] \in \mathcal{O}$ , then  $f$  extends to a signed permutation.*

- ▶ Finite field case: Goldberg (1980)
- ▶ Finite Frobenius rings: W (1997)
- ▶ Improved proof: Barra, Gluesing-Luerssen (2015)

# Proof

- ▶ For fixed  $x \in C$ , there exists a permutation  $\sigma_x$  and units  $u_{i,x} \in U$  such that  $f_i(x) = u_{i,x}x_{\sigma_x(i)}$ .
- ▶ Special character on  $R$ :  $\rho(r) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}r/N)$ .
- ▶ Multiply by  $u \in U$ , plug into  $\rho$ , and sum:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{u \in U} \rho(uf_i(x)) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{u \in U} \rho(uu_{i,x}x_{\sigma_x(i)}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{u \in U} \rho(ux_{\sigma_x(i)}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{u \in U} \rho(ux_i) \end{aligned}$$

# Proof, continued

- ▶ Equation of characters: for all  $x \in C$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{u \in U} \rho(uf_i(x)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{v \in U} \rho(vx_j)$$

- ▶ Linear independence of characters: for each  $i$  and  $u = 1$  in the left, there exists  $j = \sigma(i)$  and  $v_j \in U$  on the right, with  $\rho(f_i(x)) = \rho(v_j x_{\sigma(i)})$ .
- ▶  $\rho$  is injective:  $f_i(x) = v_i x_{\sigma(i)}$ . Signed permutation!

# Expressing $w$ in terms of swc

- ▶ Suppose weight  $w$  satisfies  $w(-r) = w(r)$ ,  $r \in R$ .
- ▶ Then, for  $x \in R^n$  and  $[t] \in \mathcal{O}$ :

$$w(x) = \sum_{[r] \in \mathcal{O}} w(r) \text{swc}_{[r]}(x)$$

$$w(tx) = \sum_{[r] \in \mathcal{O}} w(tr) \text{swc}_{[r]}(x)$$



# Criterion

- ▶ Set  $W_w = (w(tr))_{[t],[r]}$ , a  $|\mathcal{O}| \times |\mathcal{O}|$  matrix.

## Theorem (W, 1999)

*If the matrix  $W_w$  is invertible, then  $w$  has EP.*

- ▶ Use  $w(tx) = \sum_{[r] \in \mathcal{O}} w(tr) \text{swc}_{[r]}(x)$  to show that swc is preserved.

# Factoring $\det W_w$

- ▶ When  $N = p$  prime,  $R = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  is a field, and  $\mathcal{O}$  is a cyclic group.
- ▶ Dedekind-Frobenius (1896):  $\det W_w$  factors into linear expressions in  $w$  given by the Fourier transforms of  $w$  with respect to the characters of  $\mathcal{O}$  (even Dirichlet characters mod  $p$ ).
- ▶ When  $N = p^k$ ,  $p$  prime, there is a similar factorization in terms of even Dirichlet characters mod  $p^k$  and their conductors (W, 2000).

# Fourier transforms

- ▶ From here on, assume  $N = p$ , an odd prime. The case of  $N = p^k$  is similar, but more intricate.
- ▶ The factors of  $\det W_w$  are  $\hat{w}(\chi) = \sum_{r \in \mathcal{O}} w(r)\chi(r)$ , where  $\chi$  is a character of  $\mathcal{O}$  (homomorphism  $\chi : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ ).
- ▶  $\mathcal{O} \leftrightarrow \{j : 1 \leq j < p/2\}$ :  $\hat{w}(\chi) = \sum_{j < p/2} w(j)\chi(j)$ .
- ▶ If  $f(x) = w(2x)$ , then  $\hat{f}(\chi) = \bar{\chi}(2)\hat{w}(\chi)$ .
- ▶  $\sum_{j < p/2} w(2j)\chi(j) = \sum_{j < p/2} w(j)\chi(2^{-1}j) = \sum_{j < p/2} w(j)\bar{\chi}(2)\chi(j)$ .

# Special feature of Lee weight

- ▶ Remember that  $L(r) = \min\{r, N - r\}$ .
- ▶ If  $0 \leq r < p/4$ , then  $L(2r) = 2L(r)$ .
- ▶ If  $p/4 < r < p/2$ , then  $L(2r) = p - 2L(r)$ .
- ▶ For any  $r$ ,  $0 \leq r < p/2$ ,  
 $(L(2r) - 2L(r))(L(2r) - p + 2L(r)) = 0$ .

# Relation between Lee and Euclidean weights

- ▶ For any  $r$ ,  $0 \leq r < p/2$ ,  
 $(L(2r) - 2L(r))(L(2r) - p + 2L(r)) = 0$ .
- ▶  $L(2r)^2 - 4L(r)^2 = p(L(2r) - 2L(r))$
- ▶  $E(2r) - 4E(r) = p(L(2r) - 2L(r))$
- ▶ FT:  $(\bar{\chi}(2) - 4)\hat{E}(\chi) = p(\bar{\chi}(2) - 2)\hat{L}(\chi)$ .
- ▶ Thus:  $\hat{E}(\chi) = 0$  if and only if  $\hat{L}(\chi) = 0$ .

# Relation between determinants

- ▶ Suppose 2 has order  $r$  in  $\mathcal{O}$ , then

$$(2^r + 1)^{(p-1)/(2r)} \det W_E = p^{(p-1)/2} \det W_L.$$

- ▶ Take the product of  $(\bar{\chi}(2) - 4)\hat{E}(\chi) = p(\bar{\chi}(2) - 2)\hat{L}(\chi)$  over all  $\chi$ .
- ▶ Make use of factorization  $t^r - 1 = \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} (t - \zeta^j)$ , and homomorphism  $\chi \mapsto \zeta = \bar{\chi}(2)$ .

# Dirichlet characters

- ▶ Given a character  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ , set  $\chi(0) = 0$  and extend  $\chi$  to be periodic of period  $p$ : a **Dirichlet character mod  $p$** .
- ▶ The **Dirichlet  $L$ -function** associated to  $\chi$ :

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}.$$

- ▶ Converges absolutely for  $\Re(s) > 1$ .
- ▶ Functional equation allows analytic continuation to entire function of  $s$  ( $\chi \neq 1$ ).

# Generalized Bernoulli numbers

- ▶ For  $\chi \neq 1$ , define  $B_n(\chi)$  via:

$$\sum_{a=1}^p \frac{\chi(a)te^{at}}{e^{pt} - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(\chi) \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

- ▶  $B_1(\chi) = (1/p) \sum_{a=1}^p a\chi(a).$
- ▶  $B_2(\chi) = (1/p) \sum_{a=1}^p (a^2 - ap)\chi(a).$



# Facts about Dirichlet $L$ -functions

- ▶ For  $n \geq 1$ ,  $L(1 - n, \chi) = -B_n(\chi)/n$ .
- ▶ For  $n \geq 1$ , if  $\chi$  is even,  $\chi \neq 1$ , then  $L(1 - n, \chi) = 0$  if and only if  $n$  is odd.

# Outline

- ▶ We want to show that  $\det W_w \neq 0$  for  $w = \mathbb{L}$  or  $w = \mathbb{E}$ .
- ▶ To the contrary, assume  $\det W_w = 0$ , so that  $\hat{w}(\chi) = 0$  for some even character  $\chi \neq 1$ .
- ▶ Remember that  $\hat{\mathbb{L}}(\chi) = 0$  iff  $\hat{\mathbb{E}}(\chi) = 0$ .
- ▶ Calculate  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ .
- ▶ Contradict information about  $L(1 - n, \chi) = 0$ .

# Preliminary calculation

- ▶ In all that follows,  $\chi$  is even and  $\chi \neq 1$ .

$$2\hat{1}(\chi) = 2 \sum_{j < p/2} \chi(j) = \sum_{j=1}^p \chi(j) = 0.$$

- ▶ The sum of any nontrivial character over its group vanishes.

# $B_1$ calculation

- ▶  $pB_1(\chi) = \sum_{j=1}^p j\chi(j)$ .
- ▶ Split in two and re-index, using  $\chi$  even:

$$\begin{aligned}
 pB_1(\chi) &= \sum_{j < p/2} j\chi(j) + \sum_{j < p/2} (p-j)\chi(j) \\
 &= \sum_{j < p/2} p\chi(j) = p\hat{1}(\chi) = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

## $B_2$ calculation

- ▶  $pB_2(\chi) = \sum_{j=1}^p (j^2 - jp)\chi(j) = \sum_{j=1}^p j^2\chi(j)$ .
- ▶ Split in two, re-index, use  $\hat{L}(\chi) = \hat{E}(\chi) = 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} pB_2(\chi) &= \sum_{j < p/2} j^2\chi(j) + \sum_{j < p/2} (p-j)^2\chi(j) \\ &= p^2\hat{1}(\chi) - 2p\hat{L}(\chi) + 2\hat{E}(\chi) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

# Contradict $L(-1, \chi)$

- ▶ Under the hypothesis that  $\hat{L}(\chi) = \hat{E}(\chi) = 0$  for even  $\chi \neq 1$ :
- ▶  $L(-1, \chi) = L(1 - 2, \chi) = -B_2(\chi)/2 = 0$ .
- ▶ But, for even  $\chi \neq 1$ ,  $L(1 - n, \chi) = 0$  if and only if  $n$  is odd.
- ▶ Thus  $L$  and  $E$  have EP over  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ .

# Thank you

- ▶ Thank you for the opportunity to speak to you.
- ▶ Thank you for your kind attention and your warm hospitality.