

Linear Codes over Finite Rings and Modules

Jay A. Wood

Department of Mathematics
Western Michigan University
<http://homepages.wmich.edu/~jwood>

Central China Normal University
Wuhan, Hubei
May 7, 2018

5. Self-duality for linear codes over modules

- ▶ Classical examples
- ▶ Invariant polynomials
- ▶ Gleason's theorem
- ▶ “Self-dual codes and invariant theory” by Nebe, Rains and Sloane, 2006.
- ▶ Anti-isomorphisms
- ▶ Good duality from characters
- ▶ Alphabets with extra structure
- ▶ Generalization of Gleason's theorem

Classical setting

- ▶ Let $R = \mathbb{F}_q$ and consider linear codes $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$.
- ▶ Equip \mathbb{F}_q^n with the standard dot product:

$$x \cdot y = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q^n.$$

- ▶ Could use an hermitian inner product instead.
- ▶ The dual code is $C^\perp = \{y \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : C \cdot y = 0\}$.

Self-dual codes

- ▶ A linear code is **self-orthogonal** if $C \subseteq C^\perp$.
- ▶ A linear code is **self-dual** if $C = C^\perp$.
- ▶ If $\dim C = k$, then $\dim C^\perp = n - k$. (Analogous to “ $|B| \cdot |(\hat{A} : B)| = |A|$ ”.)
- ▶ If $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is self-dual, then $n = 2k$ is even.

Binary case

- ▶ Let $q = 2$, the binary case.
- ▶ For $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, if $x \cdot x = 0$, then $\text{wt}(x)$ is even. (For $q = 3$, $\text{wt}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Not true in general.)
- ▶ If $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$ is self-orthogonal, then every codeword in C has even weight.
- ▶ Extra: a binary self-orthogonal code in which every codeword has weight divisible by 4 is **doubly-even** (**singly-even** otherwise).

A binary example

- ▶ The codes generated by G_2 , G_8 are singly-even, self-dual:

$$G_2 = [1 \ 1], \quad G_8 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- ▶ $\text{hwe}_{G_2} = X^2 + Y^2$. Call this $S = \text{hwe}_{G_2}$.
- ▶ $\text{hwe}_{G_8} = X^8 + 4X^6Y^2 + 6X^4Y^4 + 4X^2Y^6 + Y^8 = (X^2 + Y^2)^4$.

Another binary example

- ▶ The code generated by E_8 is doubly-even, self-dual.

$$E_8 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- ▶ $\text{hwe}_{E_8} = X^8 + 14X^4Y^4 + Y^8$. Call it $T = \text{hwe}_{E_8}$.

And another

- ▶ Length 24. Start with 1111100100101.
- ▶ Take successive shifts of this vector until there is a 1 in position 23. Finish with a row of 1s:

$$G_{24} = \begin{bmatrix} 111110010010100000000000 \\ 011111001001010000000000 \\ 001111100100101000000000 \\ \vdots \\ 000000000011111001001010 \\ 111111111111111111111111 \end{bmatrix}$$

And another, continued

- ▶ The code generated by G_{24} is doubly-even, self-dual.
- ▶ Called the **extended Golay code**.
- ▶ Dates from 1949.
- ▶ $\text{hwe}_{G_{24}} =$
 $X^{24} + 759X^{16}Y^8 + 2576X^{12}Y^{12} + 759X^8Y^{16} + Y^{24}.$

MacWilliams identities

- ▶ Recall the MacWilliams identities over \mathbb{F}_q for the Hamming weight enumerator:

$$\text{hwe}_C(X, Y) = \frac{1}{|C^\perp|} \text{hwe}_{C^\perp}(X + (q-1)Y, X - Y).$$

- ▶ Over \mathbb{F}_2 :

$$\text{hwe}_C(X, Y) = \frac{1}{|C^\perp|} \text{hwe}_{C^\perp}(X + Y, X - Y).$$

Binary self-dual case

- ▶ When the code C is self-dual, C appears on both sides of the MacWilliams identities:

$$\text{hwe}_C(X, Y) = \frac{1}{|C|} \text{hwe}_C(X + Y, X - Y).$$

- ▶ Length is $n = 2k$. $\text{hwe}_C(X, Y)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree n , so

$$\text{hwe}_C(X, Y) = \text{hwe}_C\left(\frac{X + Y}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{X - Y}{\sqrt{2}}\right).$$

Invariance properties

- ▶ The group $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts on $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]$ by linear substitution:

$$f(X, Y) \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = f(aX + cY, bX + dY).$$

- ▶ For binary self-dual C , h_{we_C} is invariant under

$$M = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

More invariance properties

- ▶ In addition, singly-even and doubly-even are invariant under, respectively ($i = \sqrt{-1}$):

$$W_s = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad W_d = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{bmatrix}.$$

- ▶ Define two subgroups of $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$: $\mathcal{G}_s = \langle M, W_s \rangle$ and $\mathcal{G}_d = \langle M, W_d \rangle$.
- ▶ For singly-even C , $\text{hwe}_C \in \mathbb{C}[X, Y]^{\mathcal{G}_s}$.
- ▶ For doubly-even C , $\text{hwe}_C \in \mathbb{C}[X, Y]^{\mathcal{G}_d}$.

Gleason's theorem (1970)

- ▶ The rings of invariant polynomials are generated by the Hamming weight enumerators of certain codes.
- ▶ $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]^{\mathcal{G}_s} = \mathbb{C}[\text{hwe}_{G_2}, \text{hwe}_{E_8}] = \mathbb{C}[S, T]$
- ▶ $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]^{\mathcal{G}_d} = \mathbb{C}[\text{hwe}_{E_8}, \text{hwe}_{G_{24}}]$
- ▶ Corollary: doubly-even self-dual codes occur only in dimensions divisible by 8.
- ▶ $\text{hwe}_{G_{24}} = T^3 + \frac{21}{8}(2S^8T - S^4T^2 - S^{12})$.
- ▶ There are versions when $q = 3$ or $q = 4$ (with hermitian inner product).

Setting for the rest of this lecture

- ▶ Finite ring R , alphabet A , a left R -module.
- ▶ A left linear code is a left R -submodule $C \subseteq A^n$.
- ▶ How to define self-dual codes in this context?
- ▶ We will explain the approach of “Self-dual codes and invariant theory” by Nebe, Rains and Sloane, 2006.

Anti-isomorphisms

- ▶ Let R be a finite ring with 1.
- ▶ An **anti-isomorphism** of R is a map $\varepsilon : R \rightarrow R$ that is an isomorphism of the additive group of R and satisfies $\varepsilon(rs) = \varepsilon(s)\varepsilon(r)$ for all $r, s \in R$.
- ▶ An anti-isomorphism ε is an **involution** if $\varepsilon^2 = \text{id}_R$.
- ▶ If R is commutative, then id_R is an anti-isomorphism.

Examples

- ▶ Let S be a ring with anti-isomorphism ϵ .
- ▶ For any finite group G , the group ring $R = S[G]$ has anti-isomorphism ϵ :

$$\epsilon\left(\sum_{g \in G} c_g g\right) = \sum_{g \in G} \epsilon(c_g) g^{-1}.$$

- ▶ Matrix ring $R = M_{k \times k}(S)$, using the transpose:

$$\epsilon(P) = (\epsilon(P))^T, \quad P \in R.$$

Apply ϵ to each entry of P .

Swapping sides

- ▶ An anti-isomorphism ε on R allows one to regard left modules as right modules, and vice versa.
- ▶ If M is a left R -module, define $\varepsilon(M)$ to be same abelian group as M , but equipped with right scalar multiplication defined by

$$xr = \varepsilon(r)x, \quad x \in M, r \in R,$$

where $\varepsilon(r)x$ is the left scalar multiplication of the module M .

- ▶ Similar definition for right module to left.

Character-theoretic duality

- ▶ Recall from earlier: if $C \subseteq A^n$ is a left R -linear code, then $(\widehat{A}^n : C) \subseteq \widehat{A}^n$ is a right R -linear code.
- ▶ Double annihilator: $(A^n : (\widehat{A}^n : C)) = C$.
- ▶ Size: $|C| \cdot |(\widehat{A}^n : C)| = |A^n|$.
- ▶ The MacWilliams identities hold (cwe and hwe).

Alphabets with $\widehat{A} \cong \varepsilon(A)$

- ▶ Starting with a left linear code $C \subseteq A^n$, a good candidate for a dual code is the right linear code $(\widehat{A}^n : C) \subseteq \widehat{A}^n$.
- ▶ So, assume the existence of an isomorphism $\psi : \varepsilon(A) \rightarrow \widehat{A}$ of right R -modules.
- ▶ Define the **dual code** of a left linear code $C \subseteq A^n$ as

$$C^\perp = \psi^{-1}(\widehat{A}^n : C).$$

- ▶ Can use the same definition for an additive code $C \subseteq A^n$.

Interpret in terms of bi-additive form

- ▶ Use the additive form of characters:
 $\widehat{A} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$.
- ▶ Define $\beta : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ by $\beta(a, b) = \psi(b)(a)$, for $a, b \in A$. Extend additively to $A^n \times A^n$. Then:
- ▶ β is bi-additive.
- ▶ $\beta(rx, y) = \beta(x, \varepsilon(r)y)$ for $x, y \in A^n$, $r \in R$.
- ▶ Impose one more property: there exists a unit $e \in R$ such that $\beta(x, y) = \beta(ey, x)$ for $x, y \in A^n$.

Additive properties of C^\perp

- ▶ Recall $C^\perp = \psi^{-1}(\widehat{A}^n : C)$.
- ▶ In terms of β : $C^\perp = \{y \in A^n : \beta(C, y) = 0\}$.
- ▶ Even if $C \subseteq A^n$ is just an additive code, we have $|C| \cdot |C^\perp| = |A^n|$ and the MacWilliams identities.
- ▶ For an additive code C , $e^{-1}C = (C^\perp)^\perp$. This uses the $\beta(x, y) = \beta(ey, x)$ condition.

Module properties of C^\perp

- ▶ If C is a left linear code, then so is C^\perp .
- ▶ If C is a left linear code, then $(C^\perp)^\perp = C$.
(Because $e^{-1}C = C$.)
- ▶ When C is a left linear code, we also have
 $C^\perp = \{y \in A^n : \beta(y, C) = 0\}$. (Because
 $\beta(x, y) = \beta(ey, x) = \beta(y, \varepsilon(e)x)$.)

Ring alphabets

- ▶ Suppose R admits an anti-isomorphism ε .
- ▶ Let $A = R$. Then there exists an isomorphism $\psi : \varepsilon(A) \rightarrow \widehat{A}$ if and only if R is Frobenius.
- ▶ When a Frobenius ring R has generating character ϱ , then

$$\beta(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varrho(\varepsilon^{-1}(y_i)x_i),$$

for $x, y \in R^n$.

Example (a)

- ▶ Consider a simple finite ring R .
- ▶ A left linear code C of length 1 is a left ideal.
- ▶ Without using characters, one could consider

$$l(C) = \{x \in R : xC = 0\},$$
$$r(C) = \{y \in R : Cy = 0\}.$$

- ▶ If $C = l(C)$ or $C = r(C)$, C must be a two-sided ideal. Hence, $C = 0$ or $C = R$.

Example (b)

- ▶ Consider $R = M_{k \times k}(\mathbb{F}_2)$, a Frobenius ring with involution ε equaling the matrix transpose and generating character $\varrho(P) = \text{Tr}(P)/2$, $P \in R$.
- ▶ Then $\beta(P, Q) = \varrho(\varepsilon^{-1}(Q)P) = \text{Tr}(Q^T P)/2$.
- ▶ Thus $\beta(P, Q) = (1/2) \sum_{i,j} Q_{ij} P_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$.

Example (c)

- ▶ For $k = 2$, there are proper left ideals ($a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2$):

$$C_1 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}, C_2 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \right\}, C_3 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & a \\ b & b \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

- ▶ Then $C_1^\perp = C_2$, $C_2^\perp = C_1$, and $C_3^\perp = C_3$.

Gleason's theorem

- ▶ The Hamming weight enumerators of binary self-dual codes (or binary doubly-even self-dual codes) are invariant under the action of a finite subgroup of $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$, because of weight restrictions on the codewords and the MacWilliams identities.
- ▶ Gleason (1970) proved that the Hamming weight enumerators of two specific codes generate the ring of all invariant polynomials under these subgroup actions.
- ▶ Nebe, Rains, and Sloane (2006) proved a vast generalization of Gleason's theorem, valid over any finite principal ideal ring.

Questions

- ▶ Which finite rings admit anti-isomorphisms? involutions?
- ▶ Which finite Frobenius rings do?
- ▶ For rings with ε , which left modules A admit an isomorphism $\psi : \varepsilon(A) \rightarrow \widehat{A}$?
- ▶ Can Gleason's theorem be generalized beyond principal ideal rings?