

# Exotic Automorphisms of Additive Codes

Jay A. Wood

Western Michigan University  
<http://homepages.wmich.edu/~jwood>

AMS meeting, Louisville, Kentucky  
October 5, 2013

# Acknowledgments/the Question

I thank Philippe Langevin for the following question:

“Let  $K$  be a subfield of a finite field  $L$ .

“Like in the classical case, we see that coordinate permutations and component-wise  $K$ -linear isomorphisms of  $L$  preserve the Hamming weight of  $L^n$ .

“Now let  $C$  be a  $K$ -subspace of  $L^n$ , and let  $f$  be a  $K$ -linear isomorphism of  $C$  that preserves the Hamming weight.

“I wonder if it is true that  $f$  extends as a map like above?” (by email, May 9, 2013)

# Short Answer

▶ No.

# Definitions

- ▶ Let  $K$  be a finite field, and let  $L$  be a finite dimensional vector space over  $K$ .
- ▶ A  $K$ -linear code over  $L$  of length  $n$  is a  $K$ -linear subspace  $C \subset L^n$ .
- ▶ We use the Hamming weight on  $L$ . This is a crucial hypothesis. The Hamming weight on  $L$  differs from the Hamming weight on  $K^e$ , where  $e = \dim_K L$ .
- ▶ Can generalize to finite rings  $K$  and finite module alphabets  $L$ .

# Additive Codes

- ▶ Let  $L = \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $q = p^e$ , and  $K = \mathbb{F}_p$ . Then  $K$ -linear codes over  $L$  are *additive codes* over  $L$ .
- ▶ Such codes are closed under addition. It follows that they are closed under  $K$ -scalar multiplication.
- ▶ ‘Monomial’ transformations: permutations and component-wise application of  $K$ -linear isomorphisms of  $L$  (not field automorphisms).

# The Example

- ▶ Let  $L = \mathbb{F}_4 = \mathbb{F}_2[\omega]/(\omega^2 + \omega + 1)$ ,  $K = \mathbb{F}_2$ .
- ▶ Let  $C \subset L^3$  be the additive code generated by:

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \omega & 0 \\ \omega & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- ▶ What are the code automorphisms of  $C$ ?

# Warmup (a)

- ▶ Cyclic code  $C_1$  of length 7 over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ :

$$G_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- ▶  $|\text{Aut}(C_1)| = 168$
- ▶ Magma calculation (later)

## Warmup (b)

- ▶ Additive code  $C_2$  over  $\mathbb{F}_4$  ( $\omega^2 = 1 + \omega$ ):

$$G_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \omega & 0 \\ \omega & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- ▶ Permutation group of  $C_2$  has order 2.
- ▶ ‘Monomial’ group has order 18.
- ▶ Magma calculation (later)



# The Example

- ▶ Additive code  $C$  over  $\mathbb{F}_4$ :

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \omega & 0 \\ \omega & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; \quad P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \omega & 0 \\ \omega^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- ▶ Permutation group of  $C$  has order 1.
- ▶ ‘Monomial’ group has order 2 ( $P$  generates).
- ▶ Magma calculation (next)

# Magma computations

- ▶ See other files for Magma output that confirms  $\text{Aut}(C)$  calculations.
- ▶ Run on September 30, 2013.

# Another Code Automorphism

- ▶ Same additive code  $C$  over  $\mathbb{F}_4$ :

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \omega & 0 \\ \omega & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- ▶ Define  $f : C \rightarrow C$  by fixing the first generator and interchanging the other two generators.
- ▶ This  $f$  is an additive isomorphism that preserves Hamming weight over  $\mathbb{F}_4$  (next slide).

# Weight Preservation

- ▶ List of codewords and their images under  $f$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \omega & 0 \\ \omega & 1 & 0 \\ \omega^2 & \omega^2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \omega & 1 \\ \omega^2 & 1 & 1 \\ \omega & \omega^2 & 1 \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \omega & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \omega & 1 \\ \omega & 1 & 0 \\ \omega^2 & \omega^2 & 0 \\ \omega^2 & 1 & 1 \\ \omega & \omega^2 & 1 \end{array}$$

# Non-extendability of $f$

- ▶ This  $f$  does not extend to a ‘monomial’ transformation over  $\mathbb{F}_4$ ;  $f$  is ‘exotic.’
- ▶ ‘Monomial’ means permutations and  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -linear isomorphisms of  $\mathbb{F}_4$  (not field automorphisms, just vector space isomorphisms:  $GL(2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ , of order 6).
- ▶ Why not a ‘monomial’ transformation? The zeros do not transform properly.

# What is a Code Automorphism?

- ▶ One meaning: A ‘monomial’ transformation  $T : L^n \rightarrow L^n$  that preserves the code  $C$ , i.e.,  $T(C) = C$ . Let  $\text{Aut}(C)$  be the group of all such transformations. Then  $\text{Aut}(C) \subset \text{Aut}(L^n)$ .
- ▶ Second meaning: A  $K$ -linear isomorphism  $f : C \rightarrow C$  that preserves the Hamming weight; i.e.,  $\text{wt}(f(x)) = \text{wt}(x)$ , for all  $x \in C$ . Let  $\text{Isom}(C)$  be the group of all such *linear isometries*.
- ▶ Fact:  $\text{Aut}(L^n) = \text{Isom}(L^n)$ .

# Restriction Homomorphism

- ▶ By restricting an automorphism  $T \in \text{Aut}(C)$  to  $C$ , we get an isometry  $f = T|_C \in \text{Isom}(C)$ .
- ▶ The kernel  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\text{Aut}(C) \rightarrow \text{Isom}(C)$  arises from repeated columns (up to action of  $K$ -isomorphisms of  $L$ ) in a generator matrix.
- ▶ The Example shows that the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C) \rightarrow \text{Isom}(C)$$

need not be right exact.

# Linear Codes via Functionals (a)

- ▶ Assmus-Mattson (1963). Let  $K$  be a finite field and  $L, M$  be finite dimensional  $K$ -vector spaces;  $L$  is the alphabet, and  $M$  is the information space.
- ▶ Given functionals  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in M^\# := \text{Hom}_K(M, L)$ , the image of  $M \rightarrow L^n, x \mapsto (\lambda_1(x), \dots, \lambda_n(x))$ , is a  $K$ -linear code in  $L^n$ .
- ▶ If one fixes a set of generators  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  for  $M$ , then the matrix with  $(i, j)$ -entry  $\lambda_j(x_i)$  is a generator matrix for this  $K$ -linear code.



# Linear Codes via Functionals (b)

- ▶  $G = GL(L, K)$ , the group of  $K$ -linear isomorphisms of  $L$ , acts on  $M^\# = \text{Hom}_K(M, L)$ .
- ▶ Up to ‘monomial’ equivalence, all that matters is the number of times the  $G$ -orbit of a functional  $\lambda \in M^\#$  appears in the list  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ : call this number the *multiplicity*  $\eta(\lambda)$ .
- ▶ Conversely, a multiplicity function  $\eta : M^\# \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  determines a linear code, up to ‘monomial’ equivalence.

# Linear Codes via Functionals (c)

- ▶ For the Hamming weight  $\text{wt}$  on  $L$ , the weight of a codeword is

$$\text{wt}(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in M^{\#G}} \text{wt}(\lambda(x)) \eta(\lambda), \quad x \in M.$$

- ▶ This defines an additive map of function spaces

$$W : F(M^{\#G}, \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow F(M, \mathbb{N}).$$

- ▶ For additive codes and the Hamming weight,  $W$  has a nonzero kernel.

# Producing the Example

- ▶ The  $K$ -linear isomorphisms  $GL(M, K)$  also act on  $M^\sharp = \text{Hom}_K(M, L)$  and on  $M^{\sharp G}$ .
- ▶ For some non-trivial  $\varphi \in GL(M, K)$ , find a multiplicity function  $\eta \in F(M^{\sharp G}, \mathbb{N})$  such that  $\varphi^*(\eta) - \eta \in \ker W$ , but  $\varphi^*(\eta) - \eta \neq 0$ .
- ▶ This reduces to solving a system of linear equations.

# Research Questions

- ▶ Are there families of examples that are easy to construct?
- ▶ Can examples be found for matrix module alphabets  $A = M_{m \times k}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  over  $R = M_{m \times m}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , with  $m < k$ ?
- ▶ How prevalent are exotic automorphisms?