

Highly symmetric weight functions  
on matrix rings

Jay A. Wood

Western Michigan University

1903 W. Michigan Ave.

Kalamazoo, MI 49008

<http://homepages.wmich.edu/~jwood>

[jay.wood@wmich.edu](mailto:jay.wood@wmich.edu)

AMS Sectional Meeting

Evanston, IL

October 23, 2004

For which rings  $R$  and weight functions  $w$  on  $R$  does the extension theorem of MacWilliams hold?

Summary of concepts

Summary of earlier results

Case of matrix rings over finite fields

## Linear codes over finite rings

Let  $R$  be a finite ring with 1. (Later:  $R = M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .) Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the group of units of  $R$ .

A (left) *linear code*  $C$  over  $R$  of length  $n$  is a (left) submodule  $C \subset R^n$ .

A *weight function* on  $R$  is a function  $w : R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $w(0) = 0$ . One extends  $w$  to a function  $w : R^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $w(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n w(x_i)$ .

Two *symmetry groups*:

$$\begin{aligned} G_l &= \{u \in \mathcal{U} : w(ur) = w(r), r \in R\}, \\ G_r &= \{v \in \mathcal{U} : w(rv) = w(r), r \in R\}. \end{aligned}$$

## Extension property

A ring  $R$  with weight function  $w$  has the *extension property* if the following condition holds for every submodule  $W \subset R^n$  and every injective linear transformation  $f : W \rightarrow R^n$ : if  $f$  preserves  $w$  (i.e.,  $w(f(x)) = w(x)$ , all  $x \in W$ ), then  $f$  extends to a right  $G_r$ -monomial transformation of  $R^n$ .

Right  $G_r$ -monomial transformation:

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_{\sigma(1)}^{u_1}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}^{u_n}),$$

with  $u_i \in G_r$  and  $\sigma$  a permutation of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

## Summary of earlier results

The extension property holds in the following situations.

Hamming weight: finite fields (MacWilliams; Bogart, Goldberg, Gordon; Ward, W.), finite Frobenius rings (W; Greferath, Schmidt).

Homogeneous weights: integer residue rings  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  (Constantinescu, Heise, Honold), finite commutative chain rings (W), finite Frobenius rings (Greferath, Schmidt).

Symmetrized weight compositions: finite fields (Goldberg), finite Frobenius rings (W.). Fix a subgroup  $U \subset \mathcal{U}$  (later:  $U = G_r$ );  $r \sim s$ , if  $r = su$ , some  $u \in U$ . For  $x \in R^n$ ,  $r \in R$ ,

$$\text{SWC}_r(x) = |\{i : x_i \sim r\}|.$$

## General situation

Finite ring  $R$  with weight function  $w$  and symmetry groups  $G_l, G_r$ . Elementary manipulations yield

$$w(tx) = \sum w(ts) \text{swc}_s(x), \quad (1)$$

where the sum is over the non-zero  $\sim$ -equivalence classes (i.e.,  $R/G_r$  minus zero). Note that  $w(tx)$  involves the  $G_l$ -class only of  $t$ .

For fixed  $x \in R^n$ , (1) can be viewed as a linear transformation from a vector space of dimension  $|R/G_r| - 1$  to one of dimension  $|R/G_l| - 1$ , represented by a  $(|R/G_l| - 1) \times (|R/G_r| - 1)$  matrix  $A$  with  $(t, s)$ -entry equal to  $w(ts)$ . (Note that  $t, s$  are multiplied here.)

**Theorem (W., 1997)** *Let  $R$  be a finite Frobenius ring with weight function  $w$ . If the matrix  $A$  above has trivial kernel, then the extension property holds for  $w$ .*

*Proof.* Use (1) and the extension property for swc.  $\square$

## The example of matrix rings

Let  $R = M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Fix an  $n$ -dimensional vector space  $V$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and view  $R = \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(V) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(V, V)$ .

Assume the symmetry groups  $G_l, G_r$  are as large as possible; i.e.,  $G_l = G_r = GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .

Right  $GL_n$ -orbits correspond to all  $f : V \rightarrow V$  with a fixed subspace as range. Left  $GL_n$ -orbits correspond to all  $f : V \rightarrow V$  with a fixed subspace as kernel.

**Proposition** *If  $G_l = G_r = GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , then  $w(P)$ ,  $P \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , depends only on the rank of  $P$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $P, Q$  have the same rank. One can find invertible matrices  $U_1, U_2$  such that  $Q = U_1 P U_2$ . Then  $w(P) = w(Q)$ .  $\square$

So,  $w(P) = w(\text{rank}(P))$ . Write  $w_0 = 0, w_1, \dots, w_n$  for the values of  $w$ , indexed by the rank.

## Form of matrix $A$

Rows and columns of  $A$  are parameterized by non-zero subspaces of  $V$ . The entry in row  $W_1$ , column  $W_2$  is  $w(\text{rank}(PQ))$ , where  $\ker P = W_1^\perp$  and  $\text{range } Q = W_2$ . One verifies that

$$\text{rank}(PQ) = \dim W_2 - \dim(W_1^\perp \cap W_2).$$

Example.  $R = M_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ . The space  $V$  (dimension 2) has four non-zero subspaces:  $V$  itself, and three lines.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} w_2 & w_1 & w_1 & w_1 \\ w_1 & w_1 & 0 & w_1 \\ w_1 & 0 & w_1 & w_1 \\ w_1 & w_1 & w_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One verifies that  $\det A = w_1^3(3w_1 - 2w_2)$ .

In general, we can factor  $\det A$  into linear expressions.



Factoring  $\det A$

**Theorem** For  $R = M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ,

$$\det A = c \prod_{l=1}^n \left( \sum_{m=1}^l (-1)^m \begin{bmatrix} l \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}} w_m \right) \begin{bmatrix} n \\ l \end{bmatrix}_q,$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(1 - q^a)(1 - q^{a-1}) \cdots (1 - q^{a-b+1})}{(1 - q^b)(1 - q^{b-1}) \cdots (1 - q)}$$

is the  $q$ -binomial coefficient.

**Corollary**  $R = M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ,  $w$  with  $G_l = G_r = GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , satisfy the extension property if and only if

$$R_l := \sum_{m=1}^l (-1)^m \begin{bmatrix} l \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}} w_m \neq 0,$$

for all  $l = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Examples:

$$R_1 = w_1;$$

$$R_2 = (q + 1)w_1 - qw_2;$$

$$R_3 = (q^2 + q + 1)w_1 \\ -q(q^2 + q + 1)w_2 + q^3w_3.$$

## Idea of proof

View  $A$  as a linear transformation. Input and output vectors are indexed by non-zero subspaces of  $V$ . By assuming  $R_l = 0$ , we write down  $\left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ l \end{array} \right]_q$  linearly independent solutions of

$Ax = 0$ . We conclude that  $R_l \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ l \end{array} \right]_q$  divides  $\det A$ .

In order to write down those solutions, we assume a certain format—in part, that the input vectors depend only on the dimension of the indexing subspace and that entries for dimensions  $> l$  vanish. By carefully adjusting the constants in the input vector, we get the desired solutions.

To verify the solutions, the Cauchy Binomial Theorem is used:

$$\prod_{k=1}^n (1 + yq^k) = \sum_{m=0}^n y^m q^{m(m+1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q .$$

Example of  $R = M_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} w_2 & w_1 & w_1 & w_1 \\ w_1 & w_1 & 0 & w_1 \\ w_1 & 0 & w_1 & w_1 \\ w_1 & w_1 & w_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$l = 1$ : input vector  $x = (0, b, 0, 0)^t$ ,  $b \neq 0$ .  
 $Ax = (w_1b, w_1b, 0, w_1b)^t$ , which equals 0 if  $w_1 = 0$ .  
Similarly for  $x = (0, 0, b, 0)^t$  or  $(0, 0, 0, b)^t$ .  
There are three independent solutions of  $Ax = 0$ , if  $w_1 = 0$ .

$l = 2$ : Now use  $x = (b_2, b_1, b_1, b_1)^t$ .

$$Ax = (w_2b_2 + 3w_1b_1, w_1(b_2 + 2b_1), w_1(b_2 + 2b_1), w_1(b_2 + 2b_1))^t.$$

Take  $b_2 = -2b_1$  to kill the last three entries.  
Then the first entry is  $(-2w_2 + 3w_1)b_1$ , which vanishes if  $3w_1 - 2w_2 = 0$ .

$$\det A = w_1^3(3w_1 - 2w_2).$$

In general, we fix a subspace  $W$  of dimension  $l$  in  $V$  and set input vector

$$x^W = (x^W)_U = \begin{cases} 0, & U \not\subset W, \\ b_i, & U \subset W, \dim U = i. \end{cases}$$

By setting  $b_i = (-1)^i q^{i(i-1)/2}$ , we get most of the entries of  $Ax$  to vanish (by Cauchy). By assuming  $R_l = 0$ , the remaining entries vanish, too. Thus we get one solution  $x^W$  for  $Ax = 0$  (if  $R_l = 0$ ) for each subspace  $W$  of dimension  $l$ . There are  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ l \end{bmatrix}_q$  such subspaces.

## Hamming weight

What if  $w_1 = w_2 = \dots = w_n = 1$ ?

$$\begin{aligned} R_l &= \sum_{m=1}^l (-1)^m \begin{bmatrix} l \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q q^{m(m-1)/2} \\ &= -1 + \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^m \begin{bmatrix} l \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q q^{m(m-1)/2} \\ &= -1 + \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^m \begin{bmatrix} l \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q q^{m(m+1)/2} q^{-m} \\ &= -1 + \sum_{m=0}^l \left(-\frac{1}{q}\right)^m \begin{bmatrix} l \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q q^{m(m+1)/2} \\ &= -1 + 0 = -1, \end{aligned}$$

by Cauchy.