

The Method of Multiple Scales

Amy Galick

Multiscale Modeling

- Almost everything is multiscale
- Macroscale model: simpler & more efficient
- Microscale model: more accurate

Examples

- Chemical reactions
- Fluids (N-S good, but sometimes not)
- Turbulent flow
- Solids
- Mass distribution in the universe
- Rare events
- Faraday Cage (Trefethen)

Example problem

D. A. Edwards, “An Alternative Example of the Method of Multiple Scales”, SIAM Review April 2000

- 1) The problem
- 2) Some things that don't work
- 3) Key idea -- Multiple time scale expansion

1) The Problem

Temperature in a rod that conducts heat,

Temperature = $u(x, t)$

where x = position along the rod,

t = time.

1) The Problem

Initially the rod has zero temperature everywhere,

$$u(x, 0) = 0$$

Starting at time $t = 0$, a specified heat flux is applied at one end of the rod:

$$u_x(0, t) = f(t)$$

1) The Problem

The heat flux applied at the end of the rod changes **very slowly** over time. To emphasize this write:

$$u_x(0, t) = f(\varepsilon t)$$

where ε is small and > 0 .

1) The Problem

The heat equation is:

$$u_t = ku_{xx}$$

The other end of the rod is insulated:

$$u_x(L, t) = 0$$

1) The Problem

So the complete PDE problem is:

$$u_t = ku_{xx}$$

$$u(x, 0) = 0$$

$$u_x(0, t) = f(\epsilon t)$$

$$u_x(L, t) = 0$$

1) The Problem

Simplify by choosing units:

$$u_t = u_{xx}$$

$$u(x, 0) = 0$$

$$u_x(0, t) = f(\varepsilon t)$$

$$u_x(1, t) = 0$$

Separation of Variables

Guess that $u(x, t)$ can be written in the form

$$u(x, t) = \varphi(x) \cdot g(t)$$

Then the heat equation $u_t = u_{xx}$

means

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\varphi(x) \cdot g(t)) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} (\varphi(x) \cdot g(t))$$

Separation of Variables

Guess that $u(x, t)$ can be written in the form

$$u(x, t) = \varphi(x) \cdot g(t)$$

Then the heat equation $u_t = u_{xx}$

means

$$\varphi(x) \cdot g'(t) = \varphi''(x) \cdot g(t)$$

Separation of Variables

Guess that $u(x, t)$ can be written in the form

$$u(x, t) = \varphi(x) \cdot g(t)$$

Then the heat equation $u_t = u_{xx}$

means

$$\frac{\varphi''(x)}{\varphi(x)} = \frac{g'(t)}{g(t)}$$

Separation of Variables

Guess that $u(x, t)$ can be written in the form

$$u(x, t) = \varphi(x) \cdot g(t)$$

Then the heat equation $u_t = u_{xx}$

means

$$\frac{\varphi''(x)}{\varphi(x)} = \frac{g'(t)}{g(t)} = -\lambda$$

Separation of Variables

$$\frac{\varphi''(x)}{\varphi(x)} = -\lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(x) = A \cos(\sqrt{\lambda} x) + B \sin(\sqrt{\lambda} x)$$

$$\frac{g'(t)}{g(t)} = -\lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow g(t) = C e^{-\lambda t}$$

Separation of Variables

So u is of the form

$$u(x,t) = (Ce^{-\lambda t}) \left(A \cos(\sqrt{\lambda} x) + B \sin(\sqrt{\lambda} x) \right)$$

Separation of Variables

Using calculus (along with the IC & BC), get:

$$u(x,t) = \frac{g_0(t)}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(t) \cdot \cos(n\pi x)$$

where

$$g_n(t) = -2e^{-n^2\pi^2 t} \cdot \int_0^t e^{n^2\pi^2 z} f(\varepsilon z) dz$$

First Idea -- Perturbation

Estimate heat flux:

$$f(\varepsilon t) = f(0) + \varepsilon t \cdot f'(0) + \dots$$

And try to get

$$g_n(t; \varepsilon) = g_n^0(t) + \varepsilon g_n^1(t) + \dots$$

First Idea -- Perturbation

Estimate heat flux:

$$f(\varepsilon t) = \boxed{f(0) + \varepsilon t \cdot f'(0)} + \frac{\varepsilon^2 t^2}{2} f''(0) + \dots$$

And try to get

$$g_n(t; \varepsilon) = \boxed{g_n^0(t) + \varepsilon g_n^1(t)} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} g_n^2(t) + \dots$$

First Idea -- Perturbation

More calculations...

$$g_0^0(t) = -2t \cdot f(0)$$

$$g_0^1(t) = -t^2 \cdot f'(0)$$

So that the first term is

$$g_0(t) \sim -2t \cdot f(0) - \varepsilon t^2 \cdot f'(0)$$

Second Idea -- Rescale the problem

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$$

$$u(x, 0) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(1, t) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(0, t) = f(\varepsilon t)$$

With $t = \text{“fast time”}$

Second Idea -- Rescale the problem

$$\varepsilon \frac{\partial u}{\partial T} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$$

$$u(x, 0) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(1, T) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(0, T) = f(T)$$

With $T = \text{“slow time”}$

$$T = \varepsilon t$$

Separation of Variables

Again get:

$$u(x, T) = \frac{g_0(T)}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(T) \cdot \cos(n\pi x)$$

Perturbation expansion

...

...

End up with

$$g_n^0(T) = \frac{-f(T)}{n^2 \pi^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad f(T) = 0$$

What didn't work

- Fast time scale-based perturbation -> Model divergent over long time
- Slow time scale-based perturbation -> Inconsistency near $t = 0$

Multiple-Scale Expansion

- Key idea -- include both time scales in the model explicitly

$$u(x, t) = U(x, t, T)$$

$$T = \varepsilon t$$

Multiple-Scale Expansion

- Key idea -- include both time scales in the model explicitly

$$u(x, t) = U(x, t, T)$$

$$T = \varepsilon t$$

- Treat the two time variables as independent

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial T}$$

Multiple time scale expansion

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \varepsilon \frac{\partial U}{\partial T} = \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2}$$

$$U(x, 0, 0) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial x}(1, t, T) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial x}(0, t, T) = f(T)$$

Multiple time scale expansion

$$U(x, t, T) = \frac{G_0(t, T)}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n(t, T) \cdot \cos(n\pi x)$$

Normal issues

- Model macroscopic process
- Exact solution
- “Multiphysics”

Open research areas/problems

Weinan E, Bjorn Engquist, Xiantao Li, Weiqing Ren, Eric Vanden-Eijnden, *“The Heterogeneous Multiscale Method: A Review”*
(2007)

- New application areas
- Error analysis
- Algorithm development